

## MINUTES OF HLF RESILIENT HERITAGE STEERING GROUP

Date: 13 November 2018

**6pm – 7.30pm**

**Merton Council, Civic Centre, London Road, Morden, SM4 5DX**



### **Steering Group Members Present:**

Darren Barker, Micah Styles, Jon Waxman - Barker Langham

David Webb - Environment Agency & WVRPT

Peter Massini - GLA

Mandar Puranik - LB Sutton

Simon Ingyon - Enable/LB Wandsworth

Doug Napier, James McGinlay – LB Merton

Verena Trend - LB Croydon

Frazer Osment - LDA

Sue Morgan, Lysanne Horrox – WVRPT

Michael Parsons - National Trust

<b>1</b>	<b>Introductions / minutes / matters arising</b>  Minutes were agreed as read.
	<b>Apologies for absence</b> Matt Parsonage – Clarion Housing, Andrew Kauffman – idVerde, Tony Burton – WVRPT, Paul McGarry – LB Merton, Nic Durston - WVRPT, Jacqui Cayenne - Wandle Housing
<b>2</b>	Due to time constraints, the agenda was not worked through chronologically.  DB gave an update of where we are and needed final feedback from the Steering Group on the various documents provided. He is happy to receive this in any form, whatever is easiest for the individual ie track changes, photograph of notes etc.  <b>ACTION: Deadline to provide feedback is 30 November to:</b> <a href="mailto:Darren@barkerlangham.co.uk">Darren@barkerlangham.co.uk</a> and <a href="mailto:Beth@barkerlangham.co.uk">Beth@barkerlangham.co.uk</a>
2.1	<b>Important meetings coming up</b> 3 December – final roundtable chaired by Matthew Bradbury 6 December – WVRPT Board Meeting 18 December – Leaders Meeting 11 February 2019 – end of project seminar at NLA sponsored by Lee Valley
2.2	It was noted that all documentation needed for the Leaders Meeting would be run through the Steering Group first.  Shaun Dawson, Lee Valley has expressed an interest in the new Trust Board and/or the Delivery Partnership. This is a good message to send back to members.
<b>3</b>	<b>Summary Paper</b>
3.1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• SM has spoken with Clarion and Wandle Housing on how they want to participate.</li><li>• In a good place with the Environment Agency</li><li>• The paper is suppose to help Local Authorities with internal communications to warm up offices, with an aim in getting members to sign up, but needs to know if it is saying the right things.</li></ul>
3.2	<b>Feedback</b>  <u>Merton</u>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Need to be upfront what the benefits are.</li> <li>• Section 6 needs to be monetised / what are the financial benefits to the LAs.</li> <li>• Needs to cover why the review took place, do we want to do it and if we don't what are the consequences.</li> <li>• MoU should use words like 'endeavour', 'work towards'.</li> <li>• The paper assumes the reader already knows the journey, which will not necessarily be the case.</li> <li>• The meeting on 18 December is to keep them on board, it is not a decision-making meeting.</li> <li>• Document at the moment leaps to new/full Trust, but what is needed to get to it ie the transitional period.</li> <li>• Document is about vision and ambition. It could be done by supplementary or Local Plan. Transition period needs a different set of clear deliverables.</li> <li>• Short, sharp bit of information needed for 18 December meeting, which can be sent at anytime and not have to go through a committee.</li> </ul> <p><u>Sutton</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Thought the paper was really to get leaders, members and officer level input. The paper is not ready for Cabinet members.</li> <li>• Urgency and timescales would be useful and consequences if those don't happen.</li> <li>• Deputy &amp; Leader are fully aware of what is happening. It needs to be about what decisions are being asked and if there are changes to how Sutton is represented.</li> <li>• If there are financial / legal impact, it might need to go to multiple committees.</li> </ul> <p><u>Croydon</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Good context, but maybe bring 4.3 to the front. The LA's need to know what is in it for them individually and at the moment it is too Trust focussed.</li> <li>• Need to know what is being asked in order to match it to the right person / committee. Timescales are tight and wouldn't have a chance to brief indirectly, although would brief Leader through Cllr Lewis and Shifa Mustafa</li> <li>• The accelerator funding is a catalyst and would be relying on the portfolio holder.</li> </ul> <p><u>Enable/Wandsworth</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The timescales are too short to obtain Wandsworth's feedback as next Cabinet Committees aren't until February and June 2019. Need to then work backwards 6/8 week to get a date to provide papers for these.</li> <li>• Need to speak to Ishbel Murray to speak to the Leader's secretary. Board members aren't leaders of the committees.</li> <li>• Doesn't know if Wandsworth are attending the meeting on 18 December.</li> <li>• Local Plan is being consulted on from December, but currently nothing about the Wandle Valley.</li> </ul> <p><b>ACTION: SI to find timescales for Local Plan consultation</b></p>
3.3	<p>It was agreed to add a timetable to the summary and put the governance to the end.</p> <p>The process of having a shadow Trust, a period of possible funds, period of information going to various committees, it could be the end of Q2/3 2019, after the end of the committee process that the Full Trust could start.</p>
4	<p><b>Delivery Plan</b></p>
	<p><u>PM</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• All elements are there but missing a link back to London Plan policy.</li> <li>• Should it be more of a framework?</li> <li>• How do you describe the economic benefit?</li> </ul>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>It is a delivery plan for the park, but you won't get that without the Trust. Still feels like it is more about the Trust, which is critical.</li> </ul> <p><b>ACTION: PM to provide information about 'one planning policy' but actually how it should link into the London Plan and then how it is delivered at a local borough level. Set out some strategic policy that is National Policy and London Policy, picking out key words.</b></p> <p><u>DW</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Needs to deliver at a landscape scale.</li> <li>Having the Trust get the community and political support that would be difficult for the LAs to deliver</li> <li>It is a common delivery framework – how we partners come together. The CIL mechanism is transformative and different. Sharing similar outcomes, but needs more work on how we come together.</li> </ul> <p><u>JMc</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>It is a framework of custodianship.</li> <li>Not clear about delivery, whether the Trust is delivering or others delivering for the Trust.</li> <li>Mixed economy of delivery needs to be fleshed out.</li> </ul>
<b>5</b>	<b>Business Plan</b>
	<p><b>ACTION: ALL to provide feedback by 30 November to:</b>  <a href="mailto:Darren@barkerlangham.co.uk">Darren@barkerlangham.co.uk</a> and <a href="mailto:Beth@barkerlangham.co.uk">Beth@barkerlangham.co.uk</a></p>
<b>6</b>	<b>Future Parks Accelerator EOI</b>
6.1	In case of a conflict, Peter Massini left the room before this item was discussed.
6.2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The deadline for submitting the EOI is 12pm on 19 November.</li> <li>SM provided the background of how she found out about it and has been encourage by Ellie Robinson at the National Trust to put forward a consortium application.</li> <li>This is the first opportunity for the future partnership to come together and the application needs to provide reasons why it should be one of the eight to be funded in the country and how it will be transformative.</li> <li>Need to put in about social care bills, playgrounds and maintenance issues, need the Trust to help and address aspects what the funding is for – people and capacity. Needs to have a common evaluation framework to ensure green infrastructure is delivered in the most effective manner.</li> <li>Need to isolate what part of the new team needs to be there to make the Trust work to begin with.</li> <li>10% of partnership funding are required, can be made up of cash and non-cash contributions. Have already raised £45 and the rest can be in-kind.</li> <li>JMc happy to put Merton down as project champion at this point of time and address on the application form.</li> </ul> <p><b>ACTION – ALL feedback by 15 November.</b></p>
<b>7</b>	<b>CEO Transitional arrangements</b> It was noted that SM's last working day would be 18 December.
<b>8</b>	<b>Future Meetings</b> A Doodle will be sent out for availability for 8 and 9 January 2019.
	<b>Papers tabled:</b> Draft Governance Plan, Draft Business Plan, Draft Memorandum of Understanding, Summary document for Officers, Survey Response, Draft Delivery Plan, Draft EOI Parks Accelerator

